Monte Carlo Simulation of Stochastic Processes

In this lecture, we discuss the MC method used to simulate stochastic natural and artificial processes.

§1 Random Walks

We consider the simplest but most fundamental stochastic process, i.e., random walks in one dimension. DRUNKARD’S WALK PROBLEM

Consider a drunkard on a street in front of a bar, who starts walking at time $t = 0$. At every time interval $\tau$ (say 1 second) the drunkard moves randomly either to the right or to the left by a step of $l$ (say 1 meter). The position of the drunkard $x$ along the street is a random variable.

A MC simulation of the drunkard is implemented according to the following pseudocode.

- Program diffuse.c
  - Initialize a random number sequence
  - for walker = 1 to N_walker
    - position = 0
    - for step = 1 to Max_step
      - if rand() > RAND_MAX/2 then
        - Increment position by 1
      - else
        - Decrement position by 1
      - endif
    - endfor step
  - endfor walker

Figure. An MC simulation result of a walking drunkard’s position for 500 steps.

---

2. The function rand() returns a random integer with uniform distribution in the range between 0 and RAND_MAX.
The drunkard’s position, $x(t)$, at time $t$ is a random variable, which follows the probability density function, $P(x, t)$. By generating many drunkards (with different random-number seeds), we can have a MC estimate of $P(x, t)$. The following graph shows a histogram of the drunkard’s position over 1,000 samples at 100 and 500 steps. Note that the initial probability density is $P(x, 0) = \delta_{0,0}$, meaning that the drunkard is at the origin with probability 1. As time progresses, the probability distribution becomes broader.
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**Figure.** A histogram of the drunkard’s position for 1,000 random drunkards.

Let’s analyze the probability density of the drunkard’s position. First consider the probability, $P_n(x)$, that the drunkard is at position $x$ at time $n \tau$. Suppose that the drunkard has walked to the right $n_\rightarrow$ times to the right and $n_\leftarrow = n - n_\rightarrow$ times to the left. Then the drunkard’s position $x$ is $(n_\rightarrow - n_\leftarrow)l$. There are many ways that the drunkard can reach the same position at the same time; the number of possible combinations is

$$\frac{n!}{n_\rightarrow!n_\leftarrow!},$$

where $n!$ is the factorial of $n$. (There are $n!$ combinations to arrange $n$ distinct objects in a list. However $n_\rightarrow$ objects are indistinguishable and therefore the number of combinations is reduced by a factor of $n_\rightarrow!$. Due to a similar reason, the number must be further divided by $n_\leftarrow!$.) Let’s assume that the drunkard walks to the right with probability, $p$, and to the left with probability, $q = 1 - p$. Then each of the above path occurs with probability, $p^{n_\rightarrow}(1 - p)^{n_\leftarrow}$. Consequently the probability that the drunkard is at position $x = (n_\rightarrow - n_\leftarrow)l$ at time $n \tau$ is given by

$$P_n(x = (n_\rightarrow - n_\leftarrow)l) = \frac{n!}{n_\rightarrow!n_\leftarrow!} p^{n_\rightarrow}(1 - p)^{n_\leftarrow}.$$

The mean value of $x$ at time $n \tau$ is thus

$$\langle x_n \rangle = \sum_{n_\leftarrow=0}^{n} P_n(x = (n_\rightarrow - n_\leftarrow)l)(n_\rightarrow - n_\leftarrow)l$$

$$= \sum_{n_\leftarrow=0}^{n} \frac{n!}{n_\rightarrow!n_\leftarrow!} p^{n_\rightarrow}(1 - p)^{n_\leftarrow}(n_\rightarrow - n_\leftarrow)l.$$

Now recall the binomial identity, which we will use as a generating function for the binomial series,
\[
\sum_{n_{-0}, \ldots, n_{-n}} \frac{n!}{n_{-0}! \ldots n_{-n}!} p^{n_{-0}} q^{n_{-n}} = (p + q)^n.
\]

By differentiating both sides of the above identity by  \( p \), we obtain

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial p} \sum_{n_{-0}, \ldots, n_{-n}} \frac{n!}{n_{-0}! \ldots n_{-n}!} p^{n_{-0}} q^{n_{-n}} = \sum_{n_{-0}, \ldots, n_{-n}} \frac{n!}{n_{-0}! \ldots n_{-n}!} n_{-0} p^{n_{-0} - 1} q^{n_{-n}}.
\]

\[
= \frac{\partial}{\partial p} (p + q)^n = n(p + q)^{n-1}
\]

By multiplying both sides by  \( p \), and noting the term  \( n_{-0} = 0 \) is zero,

\[
\sum_{n_{-0}, \ldots, n_{-n}} \frac{n!}{n_{-0}! \ldots n_{-n}!} n_{-0} p^{n_{-0}} q^{n_{-n}} = np(p + q)^{n-1}.
\]

For  \( q = 1 - p \), we get

\[
\sum_{n_{-0}, \ldots, n_{-n}} \frac{n!}{n_{-0}! \ldots n_{-n}!} n_{-0} p^{n_{-0}} (1 - p)^{n_{-n}} = np.
\]

Similarly,

\[
\sum_{n_{-0}, \ldots, n_{-n}} \frac{n!}{n_{-0}! \ldots n_{-n}!} n_{-0} p^{n_{-0}} (1 - p)^{n_{-n}} = nq.
\]

Using the above two equalities in the expression for  \( x_n \),

\[
\langle x_n \rangle = \sum_{n_{-0}, \ldots, n_{-n}} \frac{n!}{n_{-0}! \ldots n_{-n}!} p^{n_{-0}} (1 - p)^{n_{-n}} (n_{-0} - n_{-n})l = n(p - q)l.
\]

If the drunkard walks to the right and left with equal probability, then  \( p = q = 1/2 \) and  \( x_n = 0 \) as can be easily expected.

Now let’s consider the variance of  \( x_n \).

\[
\langle x_n^2 \rangle = \sum_{n_{-0}, \ldots, n_{-n}} \frac{n!}{n_{-0}! \ldots n_{-n}!} p^{n_{-0}} (1 - p)^{n_{-n}} (n_{-0} - n_{-n})^2 l^2
\]

\[
= \sum_{n_{-0}, \ldots, n_{-n}} \frac{n!}{n_{-0}! \ldots n_{-n}!} p^{n_{-0}} (1 - p)^{n_{-n}} \left( n_{-0}^2 - 2n_{-0}n_{-n} + n_{-n}^2 \right) l^2.
\]

We can again make use of the binomial relation. By differentiating both sides by  \( p \) twice,

\[
\sum_{n_{-0}, \ldots, n_{-n}} \frac{n!}{n_{-0}! \ldots n_{-n}!} n_{-0}^2 p^{n_{-0}-2} q^{n_{-n}} = n(n - 1)(p + q)^{n-2} + \sum_{n_{-0}, \ldots, n_{-n}} \frac{n!}{n_{-0}! \ldots n_{-n}!} n_{-0} p^{n_{-0}-2} q^{n_{-n}}.
\]

Multiplying both sides by  \( p^2 \),
For $p + q = 1$,
\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n!}{n_+! n_-!} n_+^2 p^{n_+} q^{n_-} = n(n-1)p^2 + np .
\]

Similarly,
\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n!}{n_+! n_-!} n_-^2 p^{n_-} q^{n_+} = n(n-1)q^2 + nq .
\]

Now differentiate both sides of the binomial relation with respect to $p$ and then by $q$,
\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n!}{n_+! n_-!} n_+ n_- p^{n_+ - 1} q^{n_- - 1} = n(n-1)(p+q)^{n-2}
\]
\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n!}{n_+! n_-!} n_- n_+ p^{n_-} q^{n_+} = n(n-1)pq(p+q)^{n-2}
\]
\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n!}{n_+! n_-!} n_+ n_- p^{n_+ - 1} q^{n_- - 1} = n(n-1)pq(p+q)^{n-2}
\]

For $p + q = 1$,
\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n!}{n_+! n_-!} n_+ n_- p^{n_+ - 1} q^{n_- - 1} = n(n-1)pq .
\]

By combining the above results,
\[
\langle x_n^2 \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n!}{n_+! n_-!} n_+ n_- p^{n_+ - 1} q^{n_- - 1} \left( n_+^2 - 2n_+ n_- + n_-^2 \right)\]
\[
= \left[ n(n-1)p^2 + np - 2n(n-1)pq + n(n-1)q^2 + nq \right] l^2
\]
\[
= \left[ n(n-1)(p-q)^2 + n \right] l^2
\]

The variance is obtained as
\[
\langle x_n^2 \rangle - \langle x_n \rangle^2 = \left[ n(n-1)(p-q)^2 + n \right] l^2 - \left[ (p-q)l \right]^2
\]
\[
= \left[ 1 - (p-q)^2 \right] nl^2
\]
\[
= \left[ (p+q)^2 - (p-q)^2 \right] nl^2
\]
\[
= 4pqnl^2 .
\]

For $p = q = 1/2$,
DIFFUSION LAW

The main result of the above analysis is the linear relation between the steps and the variance of the random walk (the latter is also called the mean square displacement). The following graph confirms this linear relation. This relation means that a drunkard cannot go far. If he walks straight to the right, he can reach to the distance, \( nl \), in \( n \) steps. On the other hand, the drunkard can reach only, \( \text{Std}[x_n] = \sqrt{nl} \), on average.

The time evolution of \( P(x, t) \) for the drunkard’s walk problem is typical of the so call diffusion processes. Diffusion is characterized by a linear relation between the mean square displacement and time,

\[
\langle \Delta R(t)^2 \rangle = 2Dt.
\]

The above drunkard follows this general relation, since

\[
\langle x(t = n\tau)^2 \rangle = nl^2 = 2\left(\frac{t^2}{2\tau}\right)t.
\]

The “diffusion constant” in this example is \( D = \ell^2/2\tau \).

CONTINUUM LIMIT—DIFFUSION EQUATION

Diffusion is central to many stochastic processes. The probability density function, \( P(x, t) \), is often analyzed by partial differential equations, which is derived as follows. We start from a recursive relation,

\[
P(x, t) = \frac{1}{2}P(x-\ell, t-\tau) + \frac{1}{2}P(x+\ell, t-\tau),
\]

i.e., the probability density is obtained by adding two conditionally probabilities that he was: i) at one step left at the previous time and walked to the right with probability 1/2; and ii) at one step right at the previous time and walked to left with probability 1/2. By subtracting \( P(x, t-\tau) \) from both sides and dividing them by \( \tau \),
\[ \frac{P(x,t)-P(x,t-\tau)}{\tau} = \frac{l^2}{2\tau} P(x-l,t-\tau) - 2P(x,t-\tau) + P(x+l,t-\tau). \]

Let’s take the limit that \( \tau \to 0 \) and \( l \to 0 \) with \( \frac{l^2}{2\tau} = D \) is finite. The above equation then becomes

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} P(x,t) = D \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} P(x,t). \]

This parabolic equation is known as the diffusion equation.

**CENTRAL-LIMIT THEOREM**

Now we will see a manifestation of a very important theorem in probability theory, namely the central-limit theorem. Consider a sequence of random numbers, \( \{y_n \mid n = 1,2, ..., N\} \), which may follow an arbitrary probability density. The sum of all the random variables, \( Y = (y_1 + y_2 + ... + y_N) \), itself is a random variable. The central-limit theorem states that this sum follows the normal (Gaussian) distribution for a large \( N \).

The drunkard’s position is a special example of this theorem. Let’s rewrite the binomial distribution as

\[ P_N(x) = \frac{N!}{\left(\frac{N+x}{2}\right)!\left(\frac{N-x}{2}\right)!} p^{(N+x)/2} q^{(N-x)/2}, \]

where \( x = (n_+ - n_-) \). For \( p = q = 1/2 \),

\[ P_N(x) = \frac{N!}{\left(\frac{N+x}{2}\right)!\left(\frac{N-x}{2}\right)!} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^N. \]

For \( N \to \infty \), this distribution reduces to the normal distribution,

\[ \lim_{N \to \infty} P_N(x) = P(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}\right), \tag{1} \]

where \( \sigma = \sqrt{N} \).

**STIRLING’S FORMULA**

The proof of the above limiting behavior requires the knowledge about the asymptotic behavior of factorials. This is answered by the Stirling’s theorem,

\[ N! = \sqrt{2\pi N^{N+1/2}} e^{-N} \left(1 + \frac{1}{12N} + \cdots\right). \]

(Factorial is an extremely fast growing function of its argument!) The proof of Stirling’s theorem exemplifies an interesting observation: Integer problems are hard, but approximate solutions to them are often easily obtained by expanding the solution space to the real or sometimes even to the complex numbers. This is particularly true for asymptotic behaviors, since \( N \) is so large that the discrete unit, 1 \( \ll N \), is negligible.

\[ \therefore \text{Let’s first define the } \textbf{gamma function}, \]

\[ ^{2} \text{G. Arfken, Mathematical Methods for Physicists, 3rd Ed. (Academic Press, San Diego, 1985).} \]
The factorial $n!$ is a special case of the gamma function where $z$ is an integer. To prove this, let’s recall a recursive relation for the gamma function,

$$\Gamma(z+1) = z\Gamma(z),$$

which is easily proven by integrating by part,

$$[f(x)g(x)]_a^b = \int_a^b \frac{df(x)g(x)}{dx}dx = \int_a^b f(x)g'(x)dx + \int_a^b f'(x)g(x)dx,$$

where $f'(x) = df(x)/dx$:

$$\Gamma(z+1) = \int_0^\infty e^{-zt} dt = \int_0^\infty e^{-zt} dzt - \int_0^\infty (-e^{-zt}) dt = z\Gamma(z).$$

Also note that

$$\Gamma(1) = \int_0^\infty e^{-zt} dt = [e^{-zt}]_0^\infty = 1.$$

Therefore, $\Gamma(N+1) = N\Gamma(N) = N(N-1)\Gamma(N-1) = \ldots = N(N-1)\ldots2\Gamma(1) = N!$.

Now let’s perform an asymptotic expansion of

$$\Gamma(z+1) = \int_0^\infty e^{-zt} dt.$$

To get a handle on this, you should first plot the integrand, $f(t) = e^{-zt}$, for a large $z$.
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**Figure.** Integrand of the gamma function $\Gamma(z)$ for $z = 10$.

Note that the most significant contribution to the integral comes from the maximum of $f(t)$, which is located by $df/dt = -e^{-zt}(-t+z) = 0$, as $t = z$. As we increase $z$, you will notice that the distribution of this function becomes sharper and sharper around its peak. Our strategy is thus to expand the integrand around its maximum. Since everything occurs near $t \sim z$ (very big), let’s scale the integration variable as $t = zs$, so that the main contribution to the integral comes from $s \sim 1$.

$$\Gamma(z+1) = \int_0^\infty e^{-zs}(zs)^z ds = z^z \int_0^\infty e^{-zs} \exp(z\ln s) ds = z^z \int_0^\infty \exp(z(\ln s - s)) ds.$$

Now the function, $g(s) = \ln s - s$, is peaked at $s = 1$ ($dg/ds = 1/s - 1 = 0$ at $s = 1$).
Figure. Function $g(s) = \ln(s) - s$.

Note that the exponential function with a large prefactor in its argument acts as a discriminator. It emphasizes the maximum value and makes the other regions less and less significant for larger prefactors (see the Figure above right).

Since the most significant contribution comes from a very narrow range near $s = 1$ for a large $z$, let’s expand $g(s)$ around $s = 1$. Note that $g'(s) = 1/s - 1$, $g''(s) = -1/s^2$, ..., so that $g(1) = -1$, $g'(1) = 0$, $g''(1) = -1$, ... The Taylor expansion of $g(s)$ around $s = 1$ is thus,

$$g(s) = g(1) + g'(1)(s-1) + \frac{1}{2} g''(1)(s-1)^2 + \cdots$$

$$= -1 - \frac{1}{2}(s-1)^2 + \cdots.$$

Substituting this expansion in the integrand, we obtain

$$\Gamma(z+1) = z^{z+1} \int_0^\infty ds \exp \left( z \left[ -1 - \frac{1}{2}(s-1)^2 + \cdots \right] \right)$$

$$= z^{z+1} e^{-z} \int_0^\infty ds \exp \left( -\frac{z}{2}(s-1)^2 + \cdots \right)$$

$$= z^{z+1} e^{-z} \int_0^\infty ds \exp \left( -\frac{z}{2}(s-1)^2 \right)$$

$$= z^{z+1} e^{-z} \sqrt{\frac{2}{z}} \int_0^\infty du \exp \left( -u^2 \right)$$

$$= \sqrt{2\pi} z^{z+1/2} e^{-z}$$

Here we have changed the variable to $u = \sqrt{z/2}(s-1)$, and used the fact that the function is so concentrated around $s = 1$ that changing the lower limit of the integration range from $-1$ to $-\infty$ does not affect the result. (We have only derived the leading term in the Stirling’s formula. The other terms can be obtained by keeping subsequent terms in the above Taylor expansion.) //

**PROOF OF EQUATION 1**

By substituting the leading term of the Stirling’s expansion into the binomial probability density,
\[
\frac{N!}{\left(\frac{N+x}{2}\right)!\left(\frac{N-x}{2}\right)!} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^N = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{N^{N+1/2}}{(N+x)^{N_x/2} (N-x)^{N_x/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{N}{2} + \frac{N+x}{2} + \frac{N-x}{2}\right) \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^N
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{N^{N_x/2}}{(N+x)^{N_x/2} (N-x)^{N_x/2}} \left(\frac{4N}{(N+x)(N-x)}\right)^{1/2}
\]

\[
= \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi N}} \frac{1}{\left(1+\frac{x}{N}\right)^{N_x/2} \left(1-\frac{x}{N}\right)^{N_x/2}}
\]

Consider
\[
\ln \left[ \left(1+\frac{x}{N}\right)^{N_x/2} \left(1-\frac{x}{N}\right)^{N_x/2} \right] = \frac{N}{2} \ln \left(1+\frac{x}{N}\right) + \frac{N}{2} \ln \left(1-\frac{x}{N}\right)
\]

We know that the standard deviation of this distribution is \(\sqrt{N}\), so that \(x << N\) in the range where \(P_x(x)\) has any significant value. By expanding the above expression in \(x/N\) and retaining only the leading term, we get
\[
\ln \left[ \left(1+\frac{x}{N}\right)^{N_x/2} \left(1-\frac{x}{N}\right)^{N_x/2} \right] = \frac{N}{2} \left(1+\frac{x}{N}\right) \ln \left(1+\frac{x}{N}\right) + \frac{N}{2} \left(1-\frac{x}{N}\right) \ln \left(1-\frac{x}{N}\right)
\]

\[
= \frac{x}{2} \left(1+\frac{x}{N}\right) \left(1-\frac{x}{2N}\right) - \frac{x}{2} \left(1-\frac{x}{N}\right) \left(1+\frac{x}{2N}\right)
\]

\[
= \frac{x}{2} \left(1+\frac{x}{2N}\right) - \frac{x}{2} \left(1-\frac{x}{2N}\right) = \frac{x^2}{2N}
\]

where we have used the expansion,
\[
\ln(x) = x - \frac{1}{2} x^2 + \frac{1}{3} x^3 + \cdots
\]

Therefore
\[
\frac{N!}{\left(\frac{N+x}{2}\right)!\left(\frac{N-x}{2}\right)!} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^N = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi N}} \frac{1}{\left(1+\frac{x}{N}\right)^{N_x/2} \left(1-\frac{x}{N}\right)^{N_x/2}}
\]

\[
= \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi N}} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2N}\right)
\]

\[
= \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi \sigma}} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)
\]

where \(\sigma = \sqrt{N}\).

(Normalization)

Note that the binomial distribution function satisfies the following normalization relation,
\[
\sum_{n_+ = 0}^{N} P_N(x) = \frac{N!}{\left(\frac{N + x}{2}\right)!\left(\frac{N - x}{2}\right)!} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^N = 1.
\]

For \(n_+ = 0, 1, \ldots, x = n_+ - n_- = 2n_+ - N = -N, -N+2, \ldots\) Therefore \(x\) values are distributed uniformly with stride 2. Now let’s define a continuous probability density function, \(P(x)\), such that the number of sample points generated by \(N_{\text{try}}\) trials in the range \([x, x+\Delta x]\) is \(N_{\text{try}}P(x)\Delta x\).

\[
N_{\text{try}}\Delta x P(x) = N_{\text{try}} \frac{\Delta x}{2} \frac{N!}{\left(\frac{N + x}{2}\right)!\left(\frac{N - x}{2}\right)!} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^N.
\]

The factor \(\Delta x/2\) is the number of possible \(x\) values in the range. Therefore,

\[
P(x) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{2} \frac{N!}{\left(\frac{N + x}{2}\right)!\left(\frac{N - x}{2}\right)!} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^N = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}\right),
\]

where \(\sigma = \sqrt{N}\).

§2 Random Walks in Finance\(^4\)

**GEOMETRIC BROWNIAN MOTION**

Stock price, \(S(t)\), as a function of time \(t\), is a random variable. Time evolution of a stock price is often idealized as a diffusion process,

\[
dS = \mu S dt + \sigma S \epsilon \sqrt{dt},
\]

where \(\mu\) is the drift term (or the expected rate of return on the stock), \(\sigma\) is the volatility of the stock price, and \(\epsilon\) is a random variable following the normal distribution with unit variance.

Suppose the second, stochastic term is zero, then the solution to the above differential equation is

\[
S(t) = S_0 \exp(\mu t).
\]

(Confirm that the above solution satisfies \(dS / dt = \mu S\).) Therefore the first term in right-hand side of the differential equation describes the stock-price growth at a compounded rate of \(\mu\) per unit time.

Suppose, on the other hand, the first term is zero (no growth). Let’s define \(U = \ln S\) so that \(dU = dS/S\). Then the above differential equation leads to

\[
dU = \sigma \epsilon \sqrt{dt}.
\]

Or

\[
U(t) - U(0) = \sigma \sqrt{\Delta t} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \epsilon_i.
\]

According to the central-limit theorem, the sum over \( N \) random variables, \( E = \Sigma \varepsilon_i \), follows the normal distribution with variance \( N \). By defining \( t = N \Delta t \),

\[
U(t) - U(0) = \sigma \sqrt{t} \varepsilon.
\]

Namely the logarithm of \( U(t) \) is a diffusion process whose variance scales as \( t \). (\( \sigma \) is the diffusion constant.) \( S(t) \), whose logarithm follows the normal distribution, is said to follow the log-normal distribution.

\[\text{Figure. Log-normal distribution.}\]

**MC SIMULATION OF STOCK PRICE**

An MC simulation of a stock price is performed by interpreting the time-evolution equation to be discrete (\( dt \) is small but finite). At each MC step, stock-price increment relative to its current price, \( dS/S \), follows a normal distribution which has a mean value, \( \mu dt \), and standard deviation \( \sigma \sqrt{dt} \) (or variance \( \sigma^2 dt \)). Or you can generate the increment as

\[
\frac{dS}{S} = \mu dt + \sigma \sqrt{dt} \xi,
\]

where \( \xi \) is a random number which follows a normal distribution with variance 1 (you can use the Box-Muller algorithm to generate \( \xi \)).

**BLACK-SCHOLES ANALYSIS**

We will not get into the details of the Black-Scholes analysis of an option price. However, let’s look briefly at what it does. It determines the price of options.

A (European\(^5\)) call\(^7\) option gives its holder the right to buy the underlying asset at a certain date (called the **expiration date** or maturity) for a certain price (called the **strike price**). Note that an option gives the holder the right to do something but that the holder does not have to exercise this right. Consider an investor who buys an European call option on IBM stock with a strike price of $100. Suppose that the current stock price is $98, the expiration date is in two months, and the option price is $5. If the stock price on the expiration day is less than $100, he or she will clearly not exercise. (There is no point in buying for $100 a stock that has a market value of less than $100.) In this circumstance

---


\(^6\) An option that can be exercised only at the expiration date. In contrast, an American option can be exercised at any time up to the expiration date.

\(^7\) Put option, on the other hand, is the right to sell.
the investor loses the entire initial investment of $5. Suppose, for example, the stock price is $115. By exercising the option, the investor buys a stock for $100. If the share is sold immediately, he or she makes a gain of $15. The net profit is $10 by subtracting the initial investment from the gain.

![Figure](image.png)

**Figure.** Profit from buying a call option: option price is $5, strike price is $100.

The Black-Scholes analysis determines the price of an option based on the assumptions:

i) The underlying stock price follows the simple diffusive equation in the previous page;

ii) In a competitive market, there are no risk-less arbitrage opportunities;

iii) The risk-free rate of interest, $r$, is constant and the same for all risk-free investments.

The main observation is that the option price, which is a function of the underlying stock price, itself a stochastic process which depends on the same random variable, $\varepsilon$. By constructing a portfolio that contains a right combination of the option and the stock, we can eliminate the random contribution to the growth rate of the portfolio. From the no arbitrage principle above, the growth rate of such a risk-less portfolio must be $r$. The resulting equation gives a partial differential equation that must be followed by the price, $f$, of the call option,

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + rS \frac{\partial f}{\partial S} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 S^2 \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial^2 S} = rf.$$  

---

8 Buying/selling portfolios of financial assets in such a way as to make a profit in a risk-free manner.

9 This equation is worth a Nobel prize!
PROBLEM—NORMAL DISTRIBUTION

What is the standard deviation of the random number, $\zeta$, that follow the normal probability density,

$$P(\zeta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{\zeta^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$

(Answer)

Note that

$$I(\sigma) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\zeta \exp\left(-\frac{\zeta^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sqrt{2}\sigma ds \exp\left(-s^2\right) = \sqrt{2\pi}\sigma,$$

where we have introduced a new variable, $s$, through $\zeta = \sqrt{2}\sigma s$. By differentiate both sides by $\sigma$,

$$\frac{dI}{d\sigma} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\zeta \frac{\zeta^2}{\sigma^3} \exp\left(-\frac{\zeta^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) = \sqrt{2\pi}.$$

Or

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\zeta}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma^3} \zeta^2 \exp\left(-\frac{\zeta^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) = \langle\zeta^2\rangle = \sigma^2.$$

From the symmetry, the average value, $\langle\zeta\rangle = 0$, and therefore the variance of $\zeta$ is $\langle\zeta^2\rangle - \langle\zeta\rangle^2 = \sigma^2$ and the standard deviation is $\sigma$. 

13
APPENDIX A—DERIVATION OF THE BLACK-SCHOLES EQUATION

Let us assume that the stock price, \( S \), is the geometric diffusion process as described in the lecture,

\[
dS = \mu S dt + \sigma S \varepsilon \sqrt{dt},
\]

(A1)

Suppose that \( f \) is the price of a call option contingent on \( S \). Ito’s lemma (K. Ito, 1951) states that the time change in \( f \) during \( dt \) is given by

\[
df = \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial S} \mu S + \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} dt + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial S^2} \sigma^2 S^2 \right) dt + \frac{\partial f}{\partial S} \sigma S \varepsilon \sqrt{dt}.
\]

(A2)

\( \therefore \) Equation (A2) is understood as the Taylor expansion as follows:

\[
f(S + dS, t + dt) - f(S, t) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} dt + \frac{\partial f}{\partial S} dS + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial S^2} (dS)^2 + \cdots
\]

\[
= \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} dt + \frac{\partial f}{\partial S} \left( \mu S dt + \sigma S \varepsilon \sqrt{dt} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial S^2} \left( \mu S dt + \sigma S \varepsilon \sqrt{dt} \right)^2 + \cdots
\]

(A3)

\[
= \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} dt + \frac{\partial f}{\partial S} \left( \mu S dt + \sigma S \varepsilon \sqrt{dt} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial S^2} \left( \mu^2 S^2 dt^2 + 2 \mu \sigma S^2 \varepsilon dt \sqrt{dt} + \sigma^2 S^2 \varepsilon^2 dt \right) + \cdots
\]

\[
= \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial S} \sigma \varepsilon \right) (dt)^{1/2} + \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial S} \mu S + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial S^2} \sigma^2 S^2 \varepsilon^2 \right) dt + O((dt)^{3/2})
\]

In the above derivation, we have arranged the terms in increasing power of \( (dt)^{1/2} \) and retained the terms up to \( dt \). Now consider the random variable,

\[
\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial S^2} \sigma^2 S^2 \varepsilon^2 dt,
\]

(A4)

which appears in the last term of the order \( dt \). Since \( \varepsilon \) follows the normal distribution of variance 1 (i.e., \( \langle \varepsilon^2 \rangle = 1 \)), its expectation value is

\[
\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial S^2} \sigma^2 S^2 dt.
\]

(A5)

Though the random variable (A4) fluctuates around the mean value (A5), the effect of the fluctuation on the growth of \( f \) is higher order in \( dt \) and can be neglected. Consequently, we can regard this term as deterministic and hence

\[
f(S + dS, t + dt) - f(S, t) = \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial S} \sigma \varepsilon \right) (dt)^{1/2} + \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial S} \mu S + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial S^2} \sigma^2 S^2 \right) dt + O((dt)^{3/2}),
\]

(A6)

which proves Eq. (A2).\( \therefore \)

Now let us define a portfolio, which is a linear combination of \( S \) and \( f \) as follows:

\[
\Pi = -f + \frac{\partial f}{\partial S} S.
\]

(A7)

Then its time change during \( dt \) is given by
\[ d\Pi = -df + \frac{\partial f}{\partial S} dS \]
\[ = -\left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \mu S + \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial S^2} \sigma^2 S^2 \right) dt - \frac{\partial f}{\partial S} \sigma S \sqrt{dt} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial S} \left( \mu S dt + \sigma S \sqrt{dt} \right). \] (A8)
\[ = -\left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial S^2} \sigma^2 S^2 \right) dt \]

Because the random terms arising from \( df \) and \( dS \) cancel each other, the change \( d\Pi \) is deterministic (i.e., risk-free). From the assumption, the growth rate of such a portfolio is equal to the risk-free interest rate, \( r \). Therefore,
\[ d\Pi = -\left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial S^2} \sigma^2 S^2 \right) dt = r \Pi dt = r \left( f - \frac{\partial f}{\partial S} S \right) dt. \] (A9)

Dividing Eq. (A9) by \( dt \) and rearranging the terms, we obtain the Black-Scholes equation,
\[ \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + r \frac{\partial f}{\partial S} S + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial S^2} \sigma^2 S^2 = rf. \] (A10)
APPENDIX B—ANALYTIC SOLUTION FOR THE FREE-SPACE DIFFUSION PROBLEM

Consider the diffusion equation in one dimension,

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} P(x,t) = D \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} P(x,t). \tag{B1}
\]

The formal solution of this equation is

\[
P(x,t) = \exp\left(tD \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\right) P(x,0). \tag{B2}
\]

Now consider the initial condition at time \( t = 0 \) that \( x = 0 \) with 100\% certainty:

\[
P(x,0) = \delta(x,0) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dk}{2\pi} \exp(ikx). \tag{B3}
\]

Substituting Eq. (B3) in (B2),

\[
P(x,t) = \exp\left(tD \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dk}{2\pi} \exp(ikx)
\]

\[
= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dk}{2\pi} \exp\left(-Dk^2 + ikx\right)
\]

\[
= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dk}{2\pi} \exp\left(-D\left(k - \frac{ix}{2D}\right)^2 + \frac{x^2}{4D^2}\right)
\]

\[
= \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{4Dt}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dk}{2\pi} \exp\left(-D\left(k - \frac{ix}{2D}\right)^2\right)
\]

\[
= \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{4Dt}\right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{ds}{2\pi \sqrt{Dt}} \exp(-s^2)
\]

\[
= \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{4Dt}\right) \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2\pi \sqrt{Dt}}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi \sigma}} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)
\]

where

\[
\sigma^2 = 2Dt. \tag{B5}
\]

**Delta Function**

Consider a box \( x \in [0, L] \) discretized on \( N \) mesh points with spacing \( \Delta x = L/N \). As shown in the chapter on quantum dynamics, any periodic function on these mesh points can be expanded with the orthonormal basis set,

\[
\left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \exp\left(ik_m x\right) \right\}
\]

\[
k_m = \frac{2\pi m}{L} \quad (m = 0, \ldots, N-1), \tag{B6}
\]

as

\[
\psi_j = \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} \exp\left(ik_m x_j\right) \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \exp(-ik_m x_i) \psi_i. \tag{B7}
\]
Or

\[ \psi_j = \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \exp(ik_m(x_j-x_i)) \psi_i. \]  \hspace{1cm} (B8)

For \( \Delta x \to 0 \),

\[ \psi(x_j) = \int_0^x \frac{dx}{\Delta x} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} \exp(ik_m(x_j-x_i)) \psi(x_i) \]

\[ = \int_0^x \frac{dx}{L} \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} \exp(ik_m(x_j-x_i)) \psi(x_i) \]  \hspace{1cm} (B9)

This indicates, for \( L \to \infty \),

\[ \delta(x_j-x_i) = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} \exp(ik_m(x_j-x_i)) \]  \hspace{1cm} (B10)

Noting that there are \( k \) points with interval \( 2\pi/L \),

\[ \delta(x_j-x_i) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{2\pi}{L} \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} \exp(ik_m(x_j-x_i)) \]

\[ = \frac{1}{2\pi} \Delta k \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} \exp(ik_m(x_j-x_i)) \]  \hspace{1cm} (B11)

\[ \to \frac{1}{2\pi} \int dk \exp(ik(x_j-x_i)) \]